**REMARKS** 

In the Office Action, the Examiner noted that claims 27-57 are pending in the application,

that claims 41-53 are withdrawn from consideration, that claims 27-40 and 55-57 are allowed,

and that claim 54 is rejected.

By this Amendment, claims 41-54 have been cancelled. Thus, claims 27-40 and 55-57 are

pending in the application. The Examiner's rejections are traversed below.

**Election/Restrictions** 

Claims 41-53 have been withdrawn from consideration. Applicant has cancelled these

claims without prejudice or disclaimer. Withdrawal of this requirement is now respectfully

requested.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. Section 102

Claim 54 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 102 as being anticipated by Yoseloff.

Applicant respectfully disagrees. However, to expedite prosecution on this application, Applicant

has cancelled claim 54 without prejudice or disclaimer. Withdrawal of this rejection is

respectfully requested.

Page 7

US1DOCS 4924946v1

**CONCLUSION** 

Applicant respectfully submits that, as described above, the cited prior art does not show

or suggest the combination of features recited in the claims. Applicant does not concede that the

cited prior art shows any of the elements recited in the claims. However, Applicant has provided

specific examples of elements in the claims that are clearly not present in the cited prior art.

Applicant strongly emphasizes that one reviewing the prosecution history should not

interpret any of the examples Applicant has described herein in connection with distinguishing

over the prior art as limiting to those specific features in isolation. Rather, Applicant asserts that

it is the combination of elements recited in each of the claims, when each claim is interpreted as

a whole, which is patentable. Applicant has emphasized certain features in the claims as clearly

not present in the cited references, as discussed above. However, Applicant does not concede

that other features in the claims are found in the prior art. Rather, for the sake of simplicity,

Applicant is providing examples of why the claims described above are distinguishable over the

cited prior art.

Applicant wishes to clarify for the record, if necessary, that the claims have been

amended to expedite prosecution. Moreover, Applicant reserves the right to pursue the original

subject matter recited in the present claims in a continuation application.

Any narrowing amendments made to the claims in the present Amendment are not to be

construed as a surrender of any subject matter between the original claims and the present

Page 8

US1DOCS 4924946v1

claims; rather merely Applicant's best attempt at providing one or more definitions of what the

Applicant believes to be suitable patent protection. In addition, the present claims provide the

intended scope of protection that Applicant is seeking for this application. Therefore, no

estoppel should be presumed, and Applicant's claims are intended to include a scope of

protection under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

Further, Applicant hereby retracts any arguments and/or statements made during

prosecution that were rejected by the Examiner during prosecution and/or that were unnecessary

to obtain allowance, and only maintains the arguments that persuaded the Examiner with respect

to the allowability of the patent claims, as one of ordinary skill would understand from a review

of the prosecution history. That is, Applicant specifically retracts statements that one of ordinary

skill would recognize from reading the file history were not necessary, not used and/or were

rejected by the Examiner in allowing the patent application.

For all the reasons advanced above, Applicant respectfully submits that the rejections

have been overcome and should be withdrawn.

For all the reasons advanced above, Applicant respectfully submits that the Application is

in condition for allowance, and that such action is earnestly solicited.

Page 9

US1DOCS 4924946v1

## **AUTHORIZATION**

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, which may be required for this Amendment, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-0219

In the event that an Extension of Time is required, or which may be required in addition to that requested in a petition for an Extension of Time, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that Extension of Time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to charge any fee for such an Extension of Time or credit any overpayment for an Extension of Time to Deposit Account No. 08-0219.

Respectfully submitted,

Wilmer Cutler Piekering Hale and Dorr LLP

Registration No. 35,120

399 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10022

TEL (212) 230-8887

FAX (212) 23Q-8888

IHD